

## Bounds for polynomials with applications

by J. H. B. Kemperman\* and G. G. Lorentz\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, U.S.A. 78712*

---

Communicated at the meeting of May 27, 1978

### ABSTRACT

For a polynomial having a non-constant upper bound on an interval, we derive upper bounds valid outside of that interval. Several applications are given. The paper arose from a desire to have a simpler proof of a result of one of us and to extend it to the complex plane.

### 1. THE MAIN THEOREM

In the sequel,  $Q_m(z)$  will denote a polynomial of degree  $m$  and with complex coefficients. In Theorem 1 it is assumed that

$$(1.1) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq C\Omega(x) \text{ for } x \in [-1, +1].$$

Here,  $C$  denotes a positive constant and further

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega(z) = \prod_{k=1}^N |z - c_k|^{-s_k}.$$

The  $s_k$  are real constants and the  $c_k$  are complex constants.

For  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , define  $w_k$  as the complex constant such that

$$(1.3) \quad c_k = \frac{1}{2}(w_k + w_k^{-1}); \quad 0 < |w_k| \leq 1.$$

In fact,  $w_k$  is unique and satisfies  $|w_k| < 1$  provided  $c_k \notin [-1, +1]$ . Other-

---

\* This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

wise, we have  $c_k = \cos t_k$  with  $t_k$  real and we will choose  $w_k = e^{it_k}$  or  $w_k = -e^{it_k}$  in an arbitrary but fixed manner.

If  $c_k$  is real such that  $c_k < -1$  then  $-1 < w_k < 0$ . More precisely, if  $0 < a < 1$  then

$$(1.4) \quad c_k = -(1+a^2)/(1-a^2) \text{ implies } w_k = -(1-a)/(1+a).$$

In a similar way, if  $z$  is a complex number then  $w = w(z)$  is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(w + w^{-1}); \quad 0 < |w| \leq 1.$$

Hence,  $w = z \pm (z^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ . We see from (1.3) and (1.5) that

$$(1.6) \quad z - c_k = -(2w_k)^{-1}(1 - w_k w)(1 - \bar{w}_k w^{-1}).$$

If  $|w| = 1$  then  $w^{-1} = \bar{w}$  so that

$$(1.7) \quad |z - c_k| = |(2w_k)^{-1}(1 - w_k w)(1 - \bar{w}_k w)|, \text{ provided } |w| = 1.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that (1.1) holds for a polynomial  $Q_m(z)$  of degree  $m$ . Then*

$$(1.8) \quad |Q_m(z)| \leq C|w|^{-m} \prod_{k=1}^N |(2w_k)^{-1}(1 - w_k w)(1 - \bar{w}_k w)|^{-s_k}$$

*holds for all complex  $z$ . An equivalent inequality is*

$$(1.9) \quad |Q_m(z)| \leq C \Omega(z) |w|^{-m} \prod_{k=1}^N \left| \frac{w^{-1}(w - w_k)}{1 - \bar{w}_k w} \right|^{s_k}.$$

**PROOF.** That (1.8) and (1.9) are equivalent follows immediately from (1.2) and (1.6). In proving (1.8), one may assume that  $C = 1$ . Consider the pair of analytic functions

$$f(w) = w^m Q_m\left(\frac{1}{2}(w + w^{-1})\right)$$

and

$$g(w) = \prod_{k=1}^N \{(2|w_k|)^{-1}(1 - w_k w)(1 - \bar{w}_k w)\}^{s_k}.$$

Here,  $f(w)$  is an entire function, in fact, a polynomial of degree  $2m$ . Since  $|w_k| \leq 1$ , the function  $g(w)$  is analytic for  $|w| \leq 1$ , except that  $|w_k| = 1$  leads to the singularities  $w_k$  and  $w_k^{-1}$  on  $|w| = 1$ . One can make  $g$  unique by requiring that  $g(w)$  be real and positive for small real values  $w$ .

Observe that (1.8) (with  $C = 1$ ) is equivalent to  $|f(w)g(w)| \leq 1$  for  $|w| \leq 1$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $|f(w)g(w)| \leq 1$  for  $|w| = 1$ . And the latter follows immediately from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.7).

As a simple special case, suppose that

$$(1.10) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

It follows from (1.8) with  $C=1$  and  $N=0$  that

$$(1.11) \quad |Q_m(\frac{1}{2}(w+w^{-1}))| \leq |w|^{-m} \text{ when } |w| \leq 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$(1.12) \quad |Q_m(\cos t)| \leq e^{n|\operatorname{Im}(t)|},$$

for all complex  $t$ . This is a well-known inequality due to Bernstein, see [2], p. 42. The upper bound in (1.11) is constant on each ellipse in the complex  $z$ -plane with foci  $-1$  and  $+1$ .

**REMARK.** An alternative proof of Theorem 1 is as follows. The sub-harmonic function  $h(w) = \log |f(w)|$  on  $|w| \leq 1$  satisfies  $|h(w)| \leq \phi(w)$  for  $|w|=1$ , where

$$\phi(w) = - \sum_{k=1}^N s_k \log |z - c_k|.$$

Hence,  $|h(w)| \leq P(w)$  for  $|w| \leq 1$ , where  $P(w)$  denotes the Poisson integral of the function  $\phi(w)$  on  $|w|=1$ . Each of the  $N$  terms in  $P(w)$  can be exactly computed employing a differentiation with respect to the parameter  $c_k$ . In this way one easily obtains (1.8). (In [3] a similar Poisson integral was used but only asymptotically evaluated.) This proof is applicable in certain cases when  $\Omega(x)$  in (1.1) is not an analytic function.

## 2. AN APPLICATION WITH $N=1$

In the sequel,  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $s$  and  $C > 0$  denote real constants.

**THEOREM 2.** *If a polynomial  $Q_m$  of degree  $m$  satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq Cx^{-s} \text{ for } 0 < a^2 \leq x \leq 1$$

*then we have for all complex values  $z$  that*

$$(2.2) \quad |Q_m(z)| \leq C|w|^{-m} \cdot \left| \frac{1+a}{2} + \frac{1-a}{2}w \right|^{-2s},$$

*where  $w$  is defined by*

$$(2.3) \quad z = \frac{1+a^2}{2} + \frac{1-a^2}{2} \cdot \frac{w+w^{-1}}{2}; \quad |w| \leq 1.$$

*An equivalent upper bound is*

$$(2.4) \quad |Q_m(z)| \leq C|z|^{-s}|w|^{-m} \cdot \left| \frac{(1+a) + (1-a)w^{-1}}{(1+a) + (1-a)w} \right|^s.$$

**PROOF.** Let us introduce

$$x = \frac{1-a^2}{2} x' + \frac{1-a^2}{2}; \quad Q_m(x) = \tilde{Q}_m(x').$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$(\tilde{Q}_m'(x)) \leq C'|x' - c'|^{-s} \text{ when } -1 \leq x \leq +1,$$

where

$$C' = C \left( \frac{1-a^2}{2} \right)^{-s}; \quad c' = -(1+a^2)/(1-a^2).$$

Applying (1.8) with  $N=1$ , we find that

$$(2.5) \quad |\tilde{Q}_m(\tfrac{1}{2}(w+w^{-1}))| \leq C'|w|^{-m} |(2w_1)^{-1}(1-w_1w)^2|^{-s} \text{ for } |w| \leq 1.$$

Here,  $w_1$  is defined by (1.3) with  $c_1=c'$ . We see from (1.4) that  $w_1$  is a real number, in fact  $w_1 = -(1-a)/(1+a)$ . Consequently, (2.5) reduces to (2.2) with  $w$  as defined by (2.3). Next observe that (2.3) implies

$$z = \left[ \frac{1+a}{2} + \frac{1-a}{2}w \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1+a}{2} + \frac{1-a}{2}w^{-1} \right],$$

showing that (2.2) and (2.4) are equivalent.

**REMARK.** If  $z=x$  is real with  $a^2 \leq x \leq 1$  then  $|w|=1$  so that the bound (2.4) coincides with the assumed inequality  $|Q_m(x)| \leq Cx^{-s}$ .

**COROLLARY.** If the polynomial  $Q_m$  of degree  $m$  satisfies (2.1) with  $s \geq 0$  then

$$(2.6) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq Cx^{-s} \exp [2ma/(1-a^2)] \text{ for all } 0 < x \leq 1.$$

In particular, if  $a=a_m=0(1/m)$  then

$$(2.7) \quad |x^s Q_m(x)| \leq CM \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

(as long as  $a_m$  is bounded away from 1). Here  $M$  is a constant independent of  $m$  and  $x$ .

**PROOF.** If  $a^2 \leq x \leq 1$  then (2.6) follows from (2.1). If  $0 < x \leq a^2$  then (2.6) follows from (2.4). For, if  $z=0$  then  $w = -(1-a)/(1+a)$ , (compare (1.4)), and if  $z=a^2$  then  $w=-1$ . Thus, if  $z=x$  satisfies  $0 \leq x \leq a^2$  then  $w = -u$  with  $(1-a)/(1+a) \leq u \leq 1$ . Observe that  $w^{-1} = -u^{-1} \leq -u = w$ , while

$$|w|^{-1} = u^{-1} \leq (1+a)/(1-a) \leq \exp [2a/(1-a^2)].$$

In many applications, one knows that  $|Q_m(x)| \leq Cx^{-s}$  for all  $0 < x \leq 1$ . Then, for given  $z$ , the problem arises to choose the parameter  $0 < a < 1$  in (2.3) or (2.4) in an optimal way. For example, if  $z=0$  then  $w = -(1-a)/(1+a)$  and (2.2) yields that

$$|Q_m(0)| \leq C(1+a)^{m+2s}(1-a)^{-m}(2a)^{-2s}.$$

If  $s \leq 0$  then the best choice would be  $a=0$ . Let us assume that instead

$s > 0$ . Then the best choice would be  $a = \theta$ , where

$$(2.8) \quad \theta = s/(m + s).$$

This choice yields that

$$|Q_m(0)| < C \left(1 + \frac{2s}{m}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{m}{2s}\right)^{2s}.$$

More generally, one has the following result. Here, we assume that

$$(2.9) \quad H_m(z) = C|w|^{-m} \left| \frac{1+\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{2}w \right|^{-2s},$$

with  $\theta$  as in (2.8), and that  $w$  is defined by (2.3) with  $a = \theta$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $Q_m(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $m$  satisfying*

$$(2.10) \quad |Q_m(x)| < Cx^{-s} \text{ whenever } \left[ \frac{s}{m+s} \right]^2 < x < 1,$$

where  $s > 0$ . Then

$$(2.11) \quad |Q_m(x)| < H_m(x) \leq H_m(x_0) \text{ whenever } x_0 \leq x < 1,$$

(where  $x_0$  can be negative). In particular,

$$(2.12) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq H_m(0) = C \left(1 + \frac{2s}{m}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{m}{2s}\right)^{2s} \text{ whenever } 0 \leq x < 1.$$

**PROOF.** Apply Theorem 2 with  $a = \theta$  and  $\theta$  as in (2.8), thus, (2.1) is equivalent to (2.10). It follows from (2.2) that  $|Q_m(z)| \leq H_m(z)$  for all complex  $z$ . If  $z = 0$  then  $w = -(1 - \theta)/(1 + \theta)$  so that  $H_m(0)$  is of the form (2.12). It suffices to show that  $H_m(x)$  is a decreasing function on  $(-\infty, +1]$ .

From the Remark following Theorem 2, one has  $H_m(x) = Cx^{-s}$  when  $\theta^2 \leq x < 1$ , which is certainly a decreasing function. Taking the derivative with respect to  $w$ , one easily verifies that  $H_m(z)$  is an increasing function of  $w \in [-1, 0)$  and thus a decreasing function of  $z \in (-\infty, \theta^2]$ .

**REMARK 1.** Suppose for instance that (2.10) holds with  $s = 1/2$ . Then (2.12) shows that

$$(2.13) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^m (m+1) \text{ for } 0 \leq x < 1.$$

A slightly sharper result can be deduced from a theorem of Schur, see [2] p. 41. According to this theorem,  $|Q_m(x)| \leq Cx^{-1/2}$  for  $0 < x < 1$  implies that

$$(2.14) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq C(2m+1) \text{ for } 0 \leq x < 1.$$

The latter constant cannot be improved, as follows by choosing

$$Q_m(x) = T'_{2m+1}(\sqrt{1-x}),$$

with  $T_{2m+1}$  as the (odd) Tchebycheff polynomial of degree  $2m+1$ .

REMARK 2. If  $s$  is a positive integer then a result analogous to (2.12) can be derived as follows. Consider the polynomial  $P_n(x) = x^s Q_m(x)$  of degree  $n = s + m$ . From the mean value theorem, we have  $P_n(x) = Gx^s/s!$ , where  $G$  is a point in the convex hull of  $\{P_n^{(s)}(x') : 0 \leq x' \leq x\}$ . Since  $|P_n(x)| \leq C$  for  $\theta^2 \leq x \leq 1$ , from (2.10), the well-known Markov inequality (see [1]) yields an upper bound on  $|P_n^{(s)}(x')|$ . In this way one obtains an upper bound on  $|Q_m(x)| = |P_n(x)x^{-s}|$  analogous to (2.12). One can show that it is always weaker than (2.12).

REMARK 3. Let  $Q_m(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $m$  satisfying

$$(2.15) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq C(1-x^2)^{-s} \text{ whenever } x^2 \leq 1 - \left[ \frac{2s}{m+2s} \right]^2,$$

with  $C$  and  $s$  as nonnegative constants. Then

$$(2.16) \quad |Q_m(x)| \leq C \left( 1 + \frac{4s}{m} \right)^{m/2} \left( 1 + \frac{m}{4s} \right)^{2s} \text{ whenever } -1 \leq x \leq +1.$$

PROOF. The proof is analogous to that of (2.12). One starts off by applying (1.8) with  $N=2$  to the polynomial

$$\tilde{Q}_m(x') = Q_m((1-\theta^2)^{1/2}x'), \text{ where } \theta = 2s/(m+2s).$$

We omit the details.

### 3. BOUNDS FOR INCOMPLETE POLYNOMIALS

Let  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of polynomials such that  $P_n$  is of degree  $n$  and satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Suppose further that  $P_n$  has  $x=0$  as a zero of order  $s(n)$  and that

$$(3.2) \quad s(n)/n \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here and below,  $\theta$  is a fixed constant with  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

It was shown by one of us [3] that these properties imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = 0, \text{ uniformly for } 0 \leq x \leq \theta^2 - \varepsilon,$$

this for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The following is an extension of this result to the entire complex plane. We will even replace condition (3.1) by

$$(3.3) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } \theta^2 \leq x \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 4. Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\theta)$  denote the bounded and open set defined by

$$(3.4) \quad z = \frac{1+\theta^2}{2} + \frac{1-\theta^2}{2} \cdot \frac{w+w^{-1}}{2},$$

where  $w$  satisfies both  $|w| < 1$  and

$$(3.5) \quad |w|^{-1} \cdot \left| \frac{(1-\theta) + (1+\theta)w}{(1+\theta) + (1-\theta)w} \right|^\theta < 1.$$

If the polynomials  $P_n(x)$  of degree  $n$  satisfy (3.2) and (3.3) then

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(z) = 0 \text{ for each } z \in \Gamma(\theta).$$

The latter convergence is uniform and exponentially fast for each compact subset of  $\Gamma(\theta)$ . Similarly for each sequence of derivatives  $\{P_n^{(k)}(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , ( $k$  fixed).

PROOF. Let  $m = m(n) = n - s(n)$ , (thus,  $m(n)/n \rightarrow 1 - \theta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and let  $\theta(n) = s(n)/n$ . One can write

$$(3.7) \quad P_n(x) = x^{s(n)} Q_{m(n)}(x),$$

where  $Q_m$  is a polynomial of degree  $m$ . By (3.3),  $Q_m$  satisfies (2.1) with  $C=1$  and  $a=\theta$ . Applying (2.4), one finds that

$$|P_n(z)| \leq |w|^{-m(n)} \cdot \left| \frac{(1+\theta) + (1-\theta)w^{-1}}{(1+\theta) + (1-\theta)w} \right|^{s(n)}.$$

Here,  $w$  is defined as in (3.4). An equivalent form is

$$(3.8) \quad |P_n(z)|^{1/n} \leq |w|^{-1} \cdot \left| \frac{(1-\theta) + (1+\theta)w}{(1+\theta) + (1-\theta)w} \right|^{\theta(n)}.$$

The stated assertions are now an immediate consequence of (3.2) and (3.8). The derivative  $P_n^{(k)}(z)$  is easily handled by using its Cauchy integral representation.

REMARK 1. The open set  $\Gamma(\theta)$  in the complex  $z$ -plane intersects the real axis in an interval  $(-\phi(\theta), \theta^2)$  about the origin. Here,

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{1-\theta^2}{2} \cdot \frac{u+u^{-1}}{2} - \frac{1+\theta^2}{2},$$

where the number  $0 < u < (1-\theta)/(1+\theta)$  satisfies

$$\{(1-\theta) - (1+\theta)u\} / \{(1+\theta) - (1-\theta)u\} = u^{1/\theta}.$$

For instance,  $\phi(2/3) = 1/2$ ;  $\phi(1/2) = 1/8$ ;  $\phi(1/3) = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{9}/3 = .051567$ .

REMARK 2. For the case where  $z$  is real, a different and independent proof of (3.8) was given by Saff and Varga [5]. They also established many related results. In particular, they proved that Theorem 4 is best possible in the following sense. Let  $z_0$  be real with  $z_0 \notin \Gamma(\theta)$ , that is, either  $z_0 \leq -\phi(\theta)$  or  $z_0 \geq \theta^2$ . Then one can find a sequence of polynomials  $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) and such that  $\{P_n(z_0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is not a null-sequence. Professors

Saff and Varga kindly informed us that they have also succeeded in extending some of their results to the complex case.

REMARK 3. Suppose that condition (3.3) is replaced by

$$(3.9) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } \theta \leq |x| \leq 1, \quad (x \text{ real}).$$

In this situation (3.2) implies that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } -\theta < x < +\theta.$$

For the proof, one simply applies Theorem 5 to the polynomials

$$R_{n_1}(x') = (P_n(x) + P_n(-x))/2; \quad R_{n_2}(x') = (P_n(x) - P_n(-x))/(2x)$$

of degrees  $n_1 = [n/2]$  and  $n_2 = [(n-1)/2]$ , respectively, in terms of  $x' = x^2$ . These satisfy

$$|R_{n_1}(x')| \leq 1, \quad |R_{n_2}(x')| \leq \theta^{-2} \text{ when } \theta^2 \leq x' \leq 1.$$

Analogous results hold for complex values  $z$ . Considering the polynomials  $P_n(x) = R_{n/2}(x^2)$  and using the above mentioned result of Saff and Varga [5], it follows that the constant  $\theta$  in (3.10) cannot be replaced by any larger number.

#### 4. APPROXIMATION BY POLYNOMIALS: DIRECT THEOREMS

As one application of our inequalities, we give a simple proof of a theorem of Teljakovskii [6]. Since this is meant only as an illustration, we restrict ourselves to a special form of his theorem, namely, Theorem 5 below.

Let  $p = 0, 1, \dots$  be an integer, let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and put  $q = p + \alpha$ . By  $C^q[-1, +1]$  we denote the class of all functions  $f$  on  $[-1, +1]$  having a  $p$ -th derivative  $f^{(p)}$  which satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$(4.1) \quad |f^{(p)}(x) - f^{(p)}(y)| \leq |x - y|^\alpha \text{ if } x, y \in [-1, +1].$$

THEOREM 5. For each function  $f \in C^q[-1, +1]$  there exists a sequence of polynomials  $P_n$  of degree  $n$  such that, for  $n \geq p + 1$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad |f(x) - P_n(x)| \leq M \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} \right)^q \text{ if } -1 \leq x \leq +1.$$

Here the constant  $M$  depends only on  $q$ .

LEMMA 1. Let the polynomial  $P_n$  of degree  $n$  satisfy

$$(4.3) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq C(1-x^2)^b \text{ if } |x| \leq 1-n^{-2},$$

( $x$  real). Here,  $C$  and  $b$  are positive constants. Let  $r$  be the smallest integer  $\geq b$  and suppose that  $P_n$  has both  $-1$  and  $+1$  as a zero of order at least  $r$



(thus  $n \geq 2r \geq 2$ ). Then

$$(4.4) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq CD(1-x^2)^b \text{ for all } -1 \leq x \leq +1.$$

Here,  $D$  is a constant depending only on  $b$ . One may take  $D = 2^b e^2$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. We can write  $P_n(1-x) = x^r Q_m(x)$  with  $Q_m$  as a polynomial of degree  $m = n - r$ . From (4.3), we have that

$$|Q_m(x)| \leq Cx^{-r}[x(2-x)]^b \leq C2^b x^{-s},$$

(with  $s = r - b \geq 0$ ), as long as  $|1-x| \leq 1 - n^{-2}$ , in particular, when  $n^{-2} \leq x \leq 1$ . We conclude from (2.6) with  $a = n^{-1}$  that, for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$|x^{-b} P_n(1-x)| = |x^s Q_m(x)| \leq C2^b \exp \left[ \frac{2m}{n} (1 - n^{-2})^{-1} \right] \leq C2^b e^2.$$

Here, we used that  $m \leq n - 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Thus, for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$|P_n(x)| \leq C2^b e^2 (1-x)^b \leq C2^b e^2 (1-x^2)^b.$$

A similar proof holding for  $-1 \leq x \leq 0$ , this yields (4.4).

We shall also need the following result due to Trigub [7]; for other proofs, see [4] and [6]. Here and below,

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta_n(x) = \max \{n^{-2}, n^{-1} \sqrt{1-x^2}\}.$$

LEMMA 2. To each  $f \in C^q[-1, +1]$  there corresponds a sequence of polynomials  $P_n$  of degree  $n$  such that, for  $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ ,

$$(4.6) \quad |f^{(j)}(x) - P_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq K \Delta_n(x)^{q-j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, p.$$

Here the constant  $K$  depends only on  $q$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. We will prove a little more. Namely, let  $q > 0$  and let  $r$  be an integer such that  $q/2 \leq r \leq 1 + q/2$ . Let further  $f$  be a function on  $[-1, +1]$  having a derivative  $f^{(r-1)}$  such that one can find a sequence  $P_n$  of polynomials of degree  $n$  satisfying

$$(4.7) \quad |f^{(j)}(x) - P_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq \Delta_n(x)^{q-j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$$

and  $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ .

We claim that there exists a sequence  $\tilde{P}_n$  of polynomials of degree  $n$  such that, for  $n \geq 2r-1$  and  $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ ,

$$(4.8) \quad |f^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq M(n^{-1} \sqrt{1-x^2})^{q-j} \text{ when } 0 \leq j \leq 2r-q,$$

(which includes  $j=0$  and possibly  $j=1, 2$ ). Here  $M$  denotes a constant depending only on  $q$ .

If  $f \in C^q[-1, +1]$  then Lemma 2 implies (4.7). Hence, (4.8) holds with  $j=0$  which is precisely assertion (4.2).

Let  $f$  be as in (4.7). Let  $Q$  be the polynomial of degree  $\leq 2r-1$  which interpolates  $f$  at  $\pm 1$  in such a way that

$$Q^{(j)}(-1) = f^{(j)}(-1) \text{ and } Q^{(j)}(+1) = f^{(j)}(+1) \text{ for } j=0, 1, \dots, r-1.$$

Subtracting  $Q$  from both  $f$  and  $P_n$ , one may assume that  $f$  satisfies both (4.7) and

$$(4.9) \quad f^{(j)}(-1) = f^{(j)}(+1) = 0 \text{ for } j=0, 1, \dots, r-1.$$

Applying (4.7) with  $x = \pm 1$  and using (4.9), one has that

$$(4.10) \quad |P_n^{(k)}(\pm 1)| \leq (1/n^2)^{q-k} \text{ for } k=0, 1, \dots, r-1.$$

Next, let  $R_n$  denote the unique polynomial of degree  $\leq 2r-1$  such that

$$(4.11) \quad R_n^{(j)}(-1) = P_n^{(j)}(-1); \quad R_n^{(j)}(+1) = P_n^{(j)}(+1), \text{ for } j=0, 1, \dots, r-1.$$

We claim that, for  $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ ,

$$(4.12) \quad |R_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq A \Delta_n(x)^{q-j} \text{ if } 0 \leq j \leq q.$$

Here,  $A$  denotes a constant depending only on  $q$ . Namely,

$$(4.13) \quad R_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} P_n^{(k)}(-1) p_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} P_n^{(k)}(+1) q_k(x),$$

with the  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  as the fundamental polynomials of Hermite interpolation. For example,  $p_k^{(j)}(-1) = 0$  if  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$  and  $j \neq k$ , while  $p_k^{(k)}(-1) = 1$ . Further,  $p_k^{(j)}(+1) = 0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ . Hence,  $p_k(x)$  is divisible by  $(1+x)^k (1-x)^r$  and thus by  $(1-x^2)^k$ . Therefore,

$$|p_k^{(j)}(x)| \leq \text{Const.} (1-x^2)^{(k-j)+} \text{ if } -1 \leq x \leq +1.$$

Here  $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$ .

Let  $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ ;  $0 \leq j \leq q$  and  $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ . Using (4.10) and (4.5), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n^{(k)}(-1) p_k^{(j)}(x)| &\leq \text{Const.} (1/n^2)^{q-k} \cdot (1-x^2)^{(k-j)+} \\ &= \text{Const.} (1/n^2)^{q-k-(k-j)+} (n^{-1} \sqrt{1-x^2})^{2(k-j)+} \leq \text{Const.} \Delta_n(x)^{q-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the latter inequality is obvious when  $k \leq j \leq q$  in which case  $(k-j)_+ = 0$ . In the remaining case  $0 \leq j < k$ , one also needs that  $q-k-(k-j)_+ \geq 0$ , equivalently,  $q-2k+j \geq 0$ . This is true because  $k \leq r-1 \leq q/2$ . A similar estimate holding for  $P_n^{(k)}(+1) q_k^{(j)}(x)$ , we see that (4.13) implies (4.12).

Let  $\tilde{P}_n$  denote the polynomial  $\tilde{P}_n = P_n - R_n$ . It is of degree  $n$  as soon as  $n \geq 2r-1$ . From (4.11), one has that

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}(-1) = \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}(+1) = 0 \text{ for } j=0, 1, \dots, r-1.$$

Moreover, from (4.7) and (4.12), for  $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ ,

$$(4.15) \quad |f^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq B \Delta_n(x)^{q-j} \text{ for } j=0, 1, \dots, r-1.$$

Here  $B = A + 1$ .

Let  $0 < j < r - 1$  be fixed and consider the polynomial

$$(4.16) \quad Q_{2N}(x) = \tilde{P}_{2N}^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{P}_N^{(j)}(x)$$

of degree  $< 2N - j < 2N$  when  $2N > 2r - 1$ . We have from (4.15) that

$$(4.17) \quad |Q_{2N}(x)| < 2B\Delta_N(x)^{q-j} \text{ if } -1 < x < +1.$$

If  $|x| < 1 - (2N)^{-2}$  then  $(1 - x^2)^{1/2} > (1 - |x|)^{1/2} > (2N)^{-1}$ , hence,  $N^{-2} < (2/N)(1 - x^2)^{1/2}$ . Therefore, (4.17) yields that

$$(4.18) \quad |Q_{2N}(x)| < 2B \left[ \frac{2}{N} (1 - x^2)^{1/2} \right]^{q-j} \text{ if } |x| < 1 - (2N)^{-2}.$$

We now want to apply Lemma 1 with  $b = (q - j)/2$ . But then it is required that  $Q_{2N}$  have both  $-1$  and  $+1$  as a zero of order  $\geq b$ . This requires that

$$(4.19) \quad Q_{2N}^{(k)}(-1) = Q_{2N}^{(k)}(+1) = 0 \text{ whenever } 0 < k < (q - j)/2.$$

From (4.14) and (4.16), a sufficient condition for (4.19) is that  $k < (q - j)/2$  imply  $j + k < r - 1$  ( $k$  an integer); equivalently, that  $j + k \geq r$  imply  $k \geq (q - j)/2$ . Taking  $k = r - j$ , this leads to the condition that  $j < 2r - q$ .

Assuming  $j < 2r - q$ , we have from (4.18), (4.19) and Lemma 1 that

$$|Q_{2N}(x)| < 2BD \left( \frac{2}{N} \right)^{q-j} (1 - x^2)^{(q-j)/2} < C(N^{-1}\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{q-j},$$

where  $C = 2^{q+1}BD$ .

Finally, from (4.15) and (4.16),

$$|f^{(j)} - \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}| = \lim_i |\tilde{P}_{2^n}^{(j)} - \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}| < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_{2^i n}|,$$

yielding that

$$|f^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{P}_n^{(j)}(x)| < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C\{(2^{i-1}n)^{-1}\sqrt{1 - x^2}\}^{q-j},$$

whenever  $0 < j < 2r - q$ . This establishes (4.8).

## 5. APPROXIMATION BY POLYNOMIALS: INVERSE THEOREMS

For continuous functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  we define  $\|f\| = \max \{|f(x)| : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ . We will show that, in a rough sense, a function  $f \in C[0, 1]$  tends to inherit the zeros of a sequence  $\{P_n\}$  of approximating polynomials as soon as  $\|P_n - f\|$  tends to zero sufficiently fast. Or, to put it differently, if the degree of approximation is good enough then the polynomials  $P_n$  of best approximation cannot have zeros of order much higher than the function  $f$  itself. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the zero behavior at  $x = 0$ .

In the sequel,  $f \in C[0, 1]$  will be fixed and such that  $\|f\| = 1$ . For  $s$  as

a positive integer with  $s < n$ , let us define

$$(5.1) \quad E_n(f, s) = E_n(s) = \inf_{P_n} \|P_n - f\|.$$

Here,  $P_n$  ranges over all polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  which have  $x=0$  as a zero of order  $\geq s$ .

Letting  $P_n=0$ , we see that  $E_n(s) \leq \|f\| = 1$ . We shall denote by  $P_n$  the polynomial which achieves the infimum in (5.1), (it always exists). Then

$$\|P_n\| = \|f + (P_n - f)\| \leq \|f\| + E_n(s) \leq 2\|f\| = 2.$$

Letting  $P_n(x) = x^s Q_m(x)$ , with  $Q_m$  as a polynomial of degree  $m = n - s$ , it follows from assertion (2.12) of Theorem 3 that

$$(5.2) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq 2A_n(s)x^s \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Here and below, the constant  $A_n(s)$  is defined by

$$(5.3) \quad A_n(s) = (n+s)^{n+s} / [(n-s)^{n-s} (2s)^{2s}].$$

A useful bound for  $A_n(s)$  is

$$(5.4) \quad A_n(s) < \left( \frac{ne}{2s} \right)^{2s}.$$

This can be seen by letting  $s/n = t$  and

$$(1+t) \log(1+t) - (1-t) \log(1-t) = 2t - \phi(t).$$

One has  $\phi(t) \geq 0$  since  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi'(t) = -\log(1-t^2) > 0$ , for  $0 < t < 1$ .

**REMARK.** Unless  $x \in [0, 1]$  is close to 0, one could improve (5.2) by using (2.2). An inequality analogous to (5.2) (but weaker) could also be derived from the Markov inequalities, compare Remark 2 at the end of Section 2.

**THEOREM 6.** *For all  $0 < s < n$  one has*

$$(5.5) \quad |f(x)| \leq E_n(s) + 2A_n(s)x^s, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Here  $A_n(s)$  is given by (5.3)

**PROOF.** This follows from (5.2) and the inequality  $|f(x)| \leq E_n(s) + |P_n(x)|$ .

**COROLLARY.** Suppose that  $f$  has the lower bound

$$(5.6) \quad |f(x)| \geq g(x) \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq x_0,$$

where  $0 < x_0 < 1$ . Then

$$(5.7) \quad E_n(s) \geq \sup \{g(x) - 2 \left( \frac{ne}{2s} \right)^{2s} \cdot x^s : 0 \leq x \leq x_0\}.$$

The following is an application of the Corollary.

THEOREM 7. Suppose that  $f$  satisfies

$$(5.8) \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} |f(x)x^{-r}| > 0,$$

where  $r$  is a positive constant. Then

$$(5.9) \quad E_n(f, s) \geq M(s/n)^{2rs/(s-r)} \geq Ms^{2r}n^{-2rs/(s-r)},$$

as soon as  $0 < s < n$  are integers which satisfy  $s > r$  and  $n \geq cs$ . Here,  $M$  and  $c$  denote positive constants depending only on  $f$  and  $r$ .

PROOF. There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $x_0 < 1$  such that  $|f(x)| \geq Cx^r$  when  $0 < x \leq x_0$ . It follows from (5.7) that

$$E_n(s) \geq Cx^r - 2 \left( \frac{ne}{2s} \right)^{2s} \cdot x^s \text{ for each } x \in [0, x_0].$$

Select  $x$  such that the last term equals  $-Cx^r/2$ . This happens when

$$x = \left[ \frac{C}{4} \left( \frac{2s}{ne} \right)^{2s} \right]^{1/(s-r)}.$$

One easily verifies that this defines a value  $x \in [0, x_0]$  as soon as  $n \geq cs$ , where  $c$  is a sufficiently large positive constant, depending only on  $C$  and  $x_0$ . With this choice of  $x$ , we find that

$$E_n(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}Cx^r = \frac{C}{2} \left[ \frac{C}{4} \left( \frac{2s}{ne} \right)^{2s} \right]^{r/(s-r)}.$$

Here,  $(C/4)^{r/(s-r)}$  and  $(2/e)^{2rs/(s-r)}$  are bounded away from zero, since both have a positive limit as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves (5.9).

COROLLARY. If  $s$  is fixed and  $s > r$  then (5.8) implies that

$$(5.10) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n(f, s)n^t > 0,$$

where  $t > 0$  is defined by

$$(5.11) \quad t = 2rs/(s-r), \text{ that is, } \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{t}.$$

For instance, if  $f(x) = x^{1/2}$  then  $E_n(f, 2) \geq \text{Const. } n^{-4/3}$ ; if  $f(x) = x$  then  $E_n(f, 2) \geq \text{Const. } n^{-4}$ . For such functions, it would be nice to know the best possible value  $t$  in (5.10).

As a further consequence of Theorem 7, one has that

$$(5.12) \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} |f(x)x^{-r}| = 0,$$

as soon as there exists a sequence  $\{s(n)\}$  of positive integers  $s(n) > r$  such that  $s(n)/n \rightarrow 0$  and further

$$(5.13) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{E_n(f, s(n)) \cdot (n/s)^{2rs/(s-r)}\} = 0.$$

## REFERENCES

1. Duffin, R. J. and A. C. Schaeffer – A refinement of an inequality of the brothers Markoff. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50**, 517–528 (1941).
2. Lorentz, G. G. – *Approximation of Functions*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York (1966).
3. Lorentz, G. G. – Approximation by incomplete polynomials (problems and results), pp. 289–302, in: *Padé and Rational Approximation* (edited by E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga). Academic Press, New York (1977).
4. Malozemov, V. N. – Simultaneous approximation of a function and its derivatives by algebraic polynomials, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **170**, 773–775 (1966).
5. Saff, E. B. and R. S. Varga – The sharpness of Lorentz's theorem on incomplete polynomials, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (Abstract: December 1977 issue of the *Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc.*).
6. Teljakovskii, S. A. – Two theorems on the approximation of functions by algebraic polynomials, *Mat. Sb.* **70** (112), 252–265 (1966).
7. Trigub, R. M. – Approximation of functions by polynomials with integral coefficients. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **24**, 261–280 (1962).